



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

7

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,480	03/29/2004	Nicholas M. Valiante JR.	20426.003	9427
27476	7590	07/13/2006	EXAMINER	
Chiron Corporation Intellectual Property - R440 P.O. Box 8097 Emeryville, CA 94662-8097				CHONG, YONG SOO
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		1617		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/814,480	VALIANTE, NICHOLAS M.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Yong S. Chong	<b>Art Unit</b> 1617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 21,24,26,30 and 36-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 21,24,26 and 36-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 30 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Status of the Application***

This Office Action is in response to applicant's arguments filed on 5/8/2006.

Claim(s) 1-20, 22-23, 25, 27-29, 31-35 has/have been cancelled. Claim(s) 21, 24, 26, 30, 36-39 is/are pending. Claim(s) 21 has/have been amended. Claim(s) 21, 24, 26, 30, 36-39 is/are examined herein. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but found persuasive to withdraw all rejections on record. The following new rejection will now apply.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham vs John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim(s) 21, 24, 26, 36-39 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Das et al. (US Patent 6,596,746 B1) in view of Klaviniskis et al. (US Application 2003/014792 A1).

The instant claims are directed to a composition comprising a SMIP compound of formula XXI and an antigen.

Das et al. teach novel cyclic compounds and their use in the treatment of immunologic and oncologic disorders (abstract). A preferred compound is N-(2-chloro-6-methylphenyl)-2-{[2-(4-morpholinylmethyl)-1H-benzimidazole-5-yl]amino]-5-thiazolecarboxamide (col. 297, lines 18-20). Some examples of disorders that can be treated include respiratory allergies, such as asthma (col. 24, line 1), and colon (col. 23, line 64), breast, and lung cancer (col. 24, lines 62-64). Das et al. also teach that other therapeutic agents may be administered with the compounds of the instant invention (col. 23, lines 34-37), such as other anti-cancer agents, biological response modifiers, immune modulators, and monoclonal antibodies (col. 27, lines 63-66).

However, Das et al. fail to disclose specifically an antigen in the composition.

Klaviniskis et al. disclose a composition comprising spores of *Bacillus subtilis* as a method of stimulating immune responsiveness in a subject. The spores have an adjuvant, immunomodulatory, immune potentiation effect in a subject (abstract). Klaviniskis et al. also disclose that antigens can be used as adjuvant in the present composition (pg. 15, section 0155) to boost an immune response in a mammal (abstract). In addition to the MF59 adjuvant (pg. 2, section 0014), other antigens that are mentioned to be useful are influenza, hemagglutinin, and neuraminidase (pg. 14,

section 0147). Furthermore, Klaviniskis et al. disclose that the present composition can be used to treat allergic asthma and lung, breast, and colon cancers (pg. 7, section 0082-0083).

Therefore, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made, to combine the adjuvants as taught by Klaviniskis et al. with the composition as taught by Das et al.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this combination because (1) both Das and Klaviniskis et al. disclose a method of treating immunologic disorders, such as asthma, as well as breast, lung, and colon cancers; (2) Das et al. teaches the use of other therapeutic agents in the composition, such as other anti-cancer agents, biological response modifiers, immune modulators, and monoclonal antibodies; (3) Klaviniskis et al. disclose a composition comprising spores, which contain adjuvants that have an immunomodulatory effect and stimulates immune responsiveness. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectancy to successfully make a composition that would effectively treat immunologic disorders, such as asthma, as well as breast, lung, and colon cancers by stimulating the immune system and enhancing an immune response.

Examiner respectfully points out that the intended use of claim 26, directed to the biological function of the composition is given no patentable weight.

It is well known in Patent Law that if applicants are claiming a biological pathway as the basis for their invention then a mechanism by which the active ingredient gives the pharmacological effect does not alter the fact that the compound has been

previously used to obtain the same pharmacological effects which would result from the claimed method. The patient, condition to be treated, and the effect are the same. An explanation of why that effect occurs does not make novel or even unobvious the treatment of the conditions encompassed by the claims.

***Claim Objections***

Claim 30 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong S. Chong whose telephone number is (571)-272-8513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SREENI PADMANABHAN can be reached on (571)-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YSC

SHENGJUN DANG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER